| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/055,142 | BLAESSING ET AL. |
| Notice of Allowability | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mariceli Santiago | 2879 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85; NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31; | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to | oplication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS |
| 1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed Aug</u> | gust 26, 2004. | |
| 2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 2,3,5,6,10,17 and 19-22. | | |
| 3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>5/6/2002</u> are accepted by the Exam | iner. | |
| 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: | e been received. e been received in Application No | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. | complying with the requirements |
| 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | nitted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara | t'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient. |
| 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the paper No./Mail Date | son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO s Amendment / Comment or in the (.84(c)) should be written on the drawithe header according to 37 CFR 1.121 | Office action of ings in the front (not the back) of (d). |
| DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC | Must be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL. |
| Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amend | ite |
| Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit | 8. ⊠ Examiner's Statem | ment/Comr |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on August 26, 2004, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 1, 4, 7-9, 11-16 and 18 has been entered.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 17 and 19-22 are pending in the instant application.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mark Wefers on October 12, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the abstract, pursuant to MPEP 608.01(b), the abstract has been amended as follows.

Line 1, the recitation "is proposed" has been deleted.

In the claims,

Claim 19, line 1, the recitation "A liquid crystal display produced according to the method" has been deleted and replaced by the recitation --Method for producing a liquid crystal display--.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 17 and 19-22 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 5, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 5, and specifically comprising the limitation of in which spacers are produced in a process step B1) prior to process step C) and/or B), and in which the spacers in process C) prevent contact between the functional layer and a component of printer responsible for transferring the second electrode film.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 6, 17, 20 and 21, claims 2, 3, 6, 17, 20 and 21 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 5 because of their dependency status from claim 5.

Regarding claim 10, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 10, and specifically comprising the limitation of strip-shaped ridges with overhanging edge forms that run perpendicular to the first strips are structured in a process step B2) prior to process steps B) and/or C), and in which a metal layer is applied overall in process step C), which is structured be the strip-shaped ridges as second electrode strips.

Regarding claim 19, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 19, and specifically comprising the limitation of the first and/or second electrode film being produced overall on the substrate by means of a contact printing processing which spacers are produced in a process step B1) prior to process step C) and/or B), and in which the spacers in process step C) prevent contact between the functional layer and a component of a printer responsible for transferring the second electrode film.

Regarding claim 22, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 22, and specifically comprising the limitation

Application/Control Number: 10/055,142

Art Unit: 2879

of a transparent substrate is used, and in which a transparent, electrically conductive first

electrode film or electrode strips is/are produced, the face of the substrate facing the observer is

Page 4

dulled in at least some areas.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the

issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons

for Allowance."

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879